## Modeling Vertically-Staged Earthwork Variable-Depth Removal of Expansive Clay (Cont.)

		Volume Rep Subgrade vs Str				ed		<b>ر</b>	┍╼┝┥╾╴		e Analysis es (BCY)	)
			Area		Volume		Comp/Ratio		Compact		Export Change	
	Total	Cut	Fill	OnGrade	Cut	Fill	Cut	Fill	Cut	Fill		Per 0.1 ft
Landscape	31,296	17,796	13,499	1	30	395	1.00	1.15	30	454	-424	
	Clay	16,951			580		1.00		580		580	
	Silty Sand	950			10		1.00		10		10	
		Landscape Total:			620	395			620	454	166	133
Roadway	14,371	14,371	0	0	55	0	1.00	1.15	55	0	55	
	Clay	14,371			1,170		1.00		1,170		1,170	
	Silty Sand	5,601			220		1.00		220		220	
		Roadw	ay Total:		1,445	0			1,445	0	1,445	61
Slab Sub:	34,317	19,458	14,859	0	29	720			29	827	-798	
	Clay	19,069			738				738		738	
	Silty Sand	1,538			36				36		36	
		Тс	tal Slab:		803	720			803	827	-24	145
Walk Sub:	2,218	2,207	10	1	7	0			7	0	7	
	Clay	2,090			82				82		82	
	Silty Sand	193			2				2		2	
		Total Walk:			91	0			91	0	91	10
Regions Total	82,202	53,832	28,368	2	121	1,115			121	1,281	-1,160	349
	Clay	52,481			2,570	1. (************************************			2,570		2,570	
	Silty Sand	8,282			268				268		268	
		Regio	ns Total:		2,959	1,115			2,959	1,281	1,678	349
	Plane	Slope			(BCY)	(CCY)			<b></b>	<b>≜</b>	•	
Stripping Qtys	Area	Area	Depth	Volume	Г							
Remove Sub:	316	319	0.333	4		Subgrade vs. Stripped Plan Volumes:						
				~		2,959	BCY C	ut; 1,28	1 BCY	Fill; 1.6	78 BCY	' Net
Strip Sub:	81,886	81,883	0.500	1,516	◀							
Stripping Total	82,202	82,202		<mark>1,52</mark> 0		Long (after stripping and 15% cut-to-fill shrinkage applied); plus 1,516 BCY Stripped Topsoil.						

**Evaluation:** Considering the three **Balance Region** removal reports (page 180) and the job's **Subgrade vs. Stripped Report Regions** initial takeoff report (above), the job's starting plan earthwork included 1,516 BCY of topsoil stripping, 2,959 BCY of cut-to-subgrade, and 1,281 BCY of fill-to-subgrade (all from the **Report Regions** report above). The clay removal requires 412 BCY of additional cut (**Clay Removal** vs. **Stripped** volume on first **Balance Region** report) and 472 BCY replace-to-subgrade of additional fill (the net volume from the second and third **Balance Region** reports). The job's overall total cut has increased to 3,371 BCY (2,959 BCY + 412 BCY = 3,371 BCY) and total fill has increased to 1,753 BCY (1,281 BCY + 472 BCY = 1,753 BCY). The job's net long volume has nominally decreased to 1,618 BCY (3,371 BCY Cut - 1,753 BCY Fill = 1,618 BCY Export), not counting the net export volumes of any **Stripping Qtys** on the above report (removal off-haul and net of the stripped and re-spread topsoil volumes).

**Notes:** Some users looking for **a shortcut** to the above method might make a copy of the job file (use *Save As*) then add 3.0' to the building's *Sectional Area* thickness, recalculate the *Report Regions* volumes (with *Subgrade vs. Stripped*) and note the resulting increase in the clay strata cut volume at the building's Report Region; however, that shortcut would not include the additional horizontal clay removal beyond the building line and it may include some clay cut above the building's subgrade (the double-counted volume problem), so some manual adjustments to the calculated clay volume would still be required. Also, the "**Subtraction Method**" manipulations (in the previous rock undercut example on page 158) could be adapted to the above clay removal. And AGTEK 4D's **Apply Template utility** provides other options for modeling building undercuts as documented in AGTEK's videos at *www.agtek.com/video.html?id=228* and *www.agtek.com/video.html?id=482*. There are many ways to model and quantify a removal in AGTEK.